

Established February, 1845.

PRICE, \$2 PER MONTH

## Shipping







addresses to Her Majesty until the grand jubilee celebration in England, and then send them home in an Indian Marine steamer—or an Imperial crocodile, if none of the Indian Marine boats possesses sufficient cargo space—in a charge of a detachment of a prominent Anglo-Indian, Hindu, and a Mohammedan from each Province, and with a guard composed of selected men from the different branches of the Native Army? The ceremony of presentation might be made a bit thing of in connection with the English jubilee, and such distinction given to their Address could not fail to be highly gratifying to the whole Indian people.

#### 'FRAGRANT WATERS' MURMUR.

That the Home authorities are Fast Masters in the art of making provision for Defence and other necessary works—on paper.

That they might give us a little less cry and a little 'mair on,' if they are sincere in their desire to carry out their obligations so solemnly entered into with the tax-paying Colonists.

That the sanction so grudgingly given to the Whipping Ordinance is in a great measure owing to the approval thereof by the Chinese Justices of the Peace; but that the humanitarian sentiment alive will now assuredly turn round and whine because their friends, the 'oppressed native races' have been consulted.

That the prisoners in Victoria Gaol should be made to do more outdoor labour than they now do, and that there is ample scope on some of our roads for this compulsory industry.

That the Dredger has been in the hands of the Doctor and Dentist, getting its weakly constitution renovated and its broken teeth repaired, as they had been said to be shaken up by \$100 per month engineering.

That if Salt Works will pay in Asen, why not in Hongkong, with the two Kwang provinces near by as a market?

That the Tang Yeh Hospital folk and the Po Leung Kuk Society would be none the worse if their proceedings received a little more publicity.

That if the Viceroy of Canton would put a check on some of his provincial quacks, the removal of the prohibition on the export of Canton Iron (which is said by His Excellency to be of unusual fineness and excellence) may do some good to his people—but not otherwise.

That it will require very strong measures indeed to overturn what the Chinese are sure to view as vested rights.

That in Hongkong we seem to be on the high road to another 'boom' in land, and the suggestions of the Land Commission as to a tramway on a continuous Prays, the removal of the Naval and Military establishments, and possible reclamation of the foreshore, will be eagerly looked for.

That the Chinese are casting their glances Eastward, notwithstanding the large amount of Chinese house-building in the Central District, and are evidently reckoning up the possibilities of what the reclamation of Causeway Bay will bring forth.

That Common Jurors will not be sorry to learn that the Government intend to disperse with their services on Coroners' Juries.

That the personal inconvenience entailed by these inquiries is said to have rendered many residents feverishly solicitous of being made Special Jurors.

That the Crown's Case is one of the oldest of our free institutions, but that, so long as the necessary checks are preserved whereby the safety of human life is guaranteed, it can go from Hongkong for me.

That much time and foolscap, hitherto wasted, will be saved by its abolition.

That it is to be hoped that in the multitude of counsel there will be found wisdom in the huge Jubilee Committee, for there has seldom existed a greater need for that quality.

That Mr. Woodhouse is again on the war-path and the sooner his career is stopped in the Government Fire Brigade, the better will it be for the service and for all concerned.

That Mr. Woodhouse has the unfortunate faculty of bringing himself into unenviable notoriety in whatever department of the public service he enters, whether as Magistrate, Coroner, or Superintendent of the Fire Brigade.

That Mr. Woodhouse is an honest, straightforward, blunt official no one denies, but his excessive love of talking public attention to his eccentricities (the more he gets criticised in the papers the more he likes it, for even such notoriety to his vanity is better than none); his aversion for argument (which induces him to put forward the most absurd contrary opinions solely for the sake of raising discussion); and his delight in taking up a position contrary to every one else, point not only to 'Bees in his bonnet,' but to whole savan—an entire life.

That as whatever this worthy official takes in hand he manages to make a mess of, more or less, it is to be hoped he will soon be translated to some Government sphere where his maximum of middle class sill will be rendered the minimum of mess.

That the past week has seen some improvement in the quotations for the principal stocks of the place, and a very decided advance in what may be termed 'Go-down' shares.

That the more reassuring political rumours favourably affected Banks, and with the improved position of this stock a firmer

feeling in the market generally has followed, as is frequently the case.

That it may generally be noted that the chief stocks follow the lead of Banks, although not necessarily in the same proportion, whether up or down; but 'Banks depressed' generally means other stocks weaker, and 'Banks' firms' means a stolider feeling all round.

That Banks promise to now remain at about present quotations unless politics should again depress them, or until the profitable working of the half year becomes more assured, when the stock should gradually advance.

That Dockers have continued to gain a point from day to day, and if the 'shorts' prove as important as supposed, it is in holders' hands to obtain 125% at least for the end of this month.

That this stock may not be quite worth its present quotation, but intrinsic value enters little into the present position, the all-important factors being the short-selling, and the determined action of the 'Bulls' to make the 'Bears' pay dearly for the 'Suppose catch proxy; can carry; suppose no got proxy, no can carry' of the 7 per cent. dividend decision.

That these tactics on the part of the 'Bears' to confirm a decision arrived at by a majority of the Directors—a majority reported, singularly enough, to have had the minimum of pecuniary interest in the form of registered shares—have brought about the present ill-feeling.

That the 'Bears' may expect no quarter, and the end of the month will see the result of this interesting struggle between 'Bulls' and 'Bears.'

That my sympathies are distinctly with the 'Bulls,' as the wishes of a majority of shareholders at the meeting were unquestionably overridden by the proxy plan.

That Sugars have improved on the highest point previously touched, and are in demand for April and May.

That, as I said last week, whatever the result of the impending struggle between 'Bulls' and 'Bears,' or what the position of the stock on the 31st instant, the shares will probably be quoted not far from 150 ex dividend by the end of April or middle of May.

That the 'straight tip' of last week has already seen justification in the advance of 10% in Godowns, and if only one-third portion of the good things that are reported as in store for this stock prove correct, present quotations will soon be materially higher in anticipation of its proving a handsome dividend-paying investment.

That Panjunks should shortly be worth renewed attention at the slight decline established, in the hope of an encouraging position next month.

#### BROWNIE.

THE MATCH BETWEEN THE WORLD AND PUBLIC SCHOOLS AND UNIVERSITIES WAS CONTINUED TO-DAY. THERE WERE SEVERAL ABSENCES ON BOTH SIDES, AND THE GAME DOES NOT SEEM TO HAVE BEEN PRODUCTIVE OF MUCH INTEREST. THE PUBLIC SCHOOLS BEING 80 behind went to the wicket first, and by the careful play of two of their veterans, Deane and Darby, succeeded in more than doubling their score of yesterday, although they played two men short. Barff, who took five of their wickets yesterday for 17 runs, was, however, absent. The World had no difficulty in making the number required to win, the match being decided in their favour by seven wickets. The innings was continued but only eight men batted, accumulating a total of 38 runs. The following are the scores:—

PUBLIC SCHOOLS AND UNIVERSITIES.	
Second Innings.	
G. A. Dransfield, b. Dawson	8
W. M. Deane, b. Sawyer	32
M. D. Graham, b. Smith	1
F. W. Wainwright, b. Dawson	1
V. A. C. Hawkins, b. Sawyer	6
E. Ross, c. Robertson, b. Smith	3
W. H. F. Darby, not out	20
C. E. Holworthy, not out	0
C. W. Deane, absent	0
E. L. Griffin, absent	0
H. C. Metcalfe, b. Smith	1
Extras	7
Total	86

THE WORLD.	
Second Innings.	
R. M. Ramsey, b. Dawson	5
J. S. Smith, b. Graham	5
F. H. Sawyer, c. Dransfield, b. Darby	0
A. de C. Scrimm, not out	18
A. A. Lloyd, b. Darby	5
H. W. Dick, c. Deane, b. Graham	4
E. Dawson, c. Graham, b. Darby	0
W. B. Robertson, c. Graham, b. Darby	3
C. S. Barff	1
A. G. Stokes, absent	0
R. Case	39

BOWLING ANALYSIS.	
Public Schools and Universities.	
Balls.	Runs.
S. Smith	81 24 6 3 1
H. Dawson	83 40 3 2 2
F. Sawyer	40 14 3 2 1
B. Dick	10 11

THE WORLD.	
Public Schools and Universities.	
Balls.	Runs.
M. D. Graham	40 15 4 2 2
W. H. F. Darby	88 23 5 5

A GOOD 'MOUNT' WITH PLenty OF RESERVE POWER.—MOUNT VESUVIUS.	
This man who tells his friends all he knows usually does not have much to tell.	
The latest gem in the line of Coroners' Jury verdicts comes from Daktas, where a jury found that 'she came to her death from a felonious desire to reach a happy hereafter.'	

#### A MANDARIN ARRESTED IN HONGKONG.

CHARGE OF CONSPIRACY TO MURDER.

A sensational trial was begun before Mr. R. Maclean at the Magistrate's Court this forenoon. The first defendant in the case was Colonel Li Lo, an officer in the Chinese Government, who was arrested last night at the instance and on the responsibility of Mr. R. L. Denney, solicitor, on a charge of subornation of perjury. The second prisoner was Chuan Ayut, alias Leung Yaw, a hawkier who was charged with perjury, but whose detention was sanctioned by Mr. Woodhouse. The circumstances which led Mr. Denney to take the bold measure he adopted last night are briefly these.—On the 10th February three Chinamen were arrested in Hongkong in consequence of a petition lodged by Mr. Caldwell on behalf of Li Lo, an officer in the Chinese Government, acting under instructions from the Viceroy. These three men were charged with robbery and murder at Kweichow. The trial has occupied several days since then, the Magistrate on several occasions sitting to seven o'clock in the evening. Two of the prisoners were defended by Mr. Denney and one by Mr. Leung Yaw. At the last sitting yesterday afternoon, the evidence of one of the witnesses, who gave his name as Leung Yaw, and described himself as the nephew of the woman whose house was plundered, was called in question. It was alleged that he was not Leung Yaw, but a notorious criminal Chuan Ayut. Mr. Woodhouse said that if this man's evidence was to be believed, certainly a *prima facie* case had been made out. It was therefore for the solicitor for the defence to prove that the witness was not Chuan Ayut. This he did in a very conclusive manner. A man was got who declared that he had been in prison with this witness and knew him well. His name was Chuan Ayut and not Leung Yaw. But still no conclusive evidence was given by Mr. Denney, who examined the witness's arm and found tattoo marks, which had been entered, but which were still distinguishable. The marks were shown to the Chinese interpreter in the Court, Chuan Kai Ming, who declared they were the Chinese character 'To,' meaning thief. Other marks higher up the arm were also discovered, besides marks on the head, which left little doubt that the witness was the notorious thief alleged by the defence. Mr. Denney thereupon applied for the apprehension of Li Lo, who was of the Mandarin, Li Lo, in charge of the case on a charge of perjury and subornation of perjury. Mr. Woodhouse sanctioned the apprehension of the witness, but left Mr. Denney to get on his own responsibility. Mr. Li Lo, Mr. Denney then instructed Inspector Quincey to arrest the Mandarin at his instance on the charge mentioned. The Mandarin was accordingly taken in custody and in view of his rank was provided with accommodation in the Police Station. He remained in high dudgeon till early this morning, when Mr. Caldwell succeeded in effecting his release on a bail of \$1,000, which was accepted by Captain Deane, Superintendent of Police.

The trial of the case was begun before Mr. Maclean this morning.

At ten o'clock Mr. Denney appeared and explained of bail for the ridiculously low sum of \$1,000 having been granted without his having been informed of the matter. The charge was one of the most serious that could be brought against any man and it was one that he hoped to be able to formulate more definitely. The charge he mentioned last night was merely perjury, but he had a more grave one to make now. Of course, if the prisoner appeared before the Court at this time it was arranged he would appear, there would be no trouble.

A few minutes after Mr. Denney had sat down, Captain Deane appeared in Court and asked that the case be adjourned, as he would like to see the prisoner. Mr. Denney said the defendant must be present before any application of that nature could be made.

Captain Deane then left and did not reappear to renew his request.

On the prisoners appearing in Court, Mr. Denney instructed the Chinese interpreter, Li Lo, should be put in the dock beside the other prisoner. As the Magistrate did not seem to be willing to comply with this request.

Denney stepped toward the witness box and said to the man with him, 'You are going to murder and I am here to put you in the place where one accused of such a serious crime is put. I have very strong reason to object to any distinction being drawn between that man and any other prisoner case were on each side of him and that the second defendant in the other case was behind him. They demanded three thousand dollars from him. But the second defendant said they would wait till they arrived at a place where they would be safe. He was at Whampoa, a place in Canton, not at Whampoa in Canton. When he was taken to the shed he had his hands tied behind his back and chains on his legs. He was tied to a peg on the ground. He was there for three whole days and nights. He was afterwards released at the request of the second defendant in the other case, who said that he knew that he was not the son of Mr. Ma She. This man gave him \$2 and a blanket and put him on his feet. He was afterwards taken to a woman of about 30 years of age. She showed him back to Kweichow. The second defendant in this case, who gave the evidence I have just mentioned, when asked if he had been taken to the shed he said he had not. He denied that he had a brother Chuan Kon-I. He was asked if he had been connected in any way with Chinese Officers. He said: 'No, not at all. I came down about this case about the 12th of the second month. He was asked if he had been in a Chinese prison for any purpose whatever and he replied that he had never been in gaol. He was asked if he had any scars or marks and he replied that he had none on his left temple and one on his right temple were taken by a blow. He was asked if he had any marks on his arm. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his face. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his body. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his clothing. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his shoes. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his socks. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his undershirt. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his trousers. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his coat. He replied that he had none. He was asked if he had any marks on his hat. He replied that he had none. He was



## QUIR SEPARATE.

'Quir Separate,' any who will cover  
Australia from Britain, that nature  
and kindness make one?

What, though some cranks declare that  
the mystical finger has written.  
'Tolke!—her kingdom's divided, the reign  
of her empire is done!

'Quir separate,' the blood of the Vikings,  
these hardy old Norsemen,  
Flows in our veins, and the sea is our  
highway, our pride, and our home!

Those words are our merchants' fair motto,  
the badge of our horsemen;  
Who will discover our Empire, more vast  
than Imperial Rome?

What are our Colonies—Canada, India,  
Cape, and Australia?  
Branches, right flourishing branches, whose  
present and future is at home.  
Rooted beyond the Atlantic, and planted  
in far Australasia.

Growing where the wild ocean dashes  
and tosses its foam.  
Then let the British flag, flying, proclaim  
that 'Defence, not Defence'  
Is to Britain's proud motto of union,  
attack us who dare.

'Ready!—Aye, ready!' our watchword.  
Thus bound up in world-wide alliance,  
Where is the foe that will rouse the old  
lion asleep in his lair?

—Sydney Mail.

## THE CONTRAST.

One loved the luxuries of life—  
Not so the other.  
The first became a rich man's wife—  
Poor was the other.

Lady One rolled in her carriage—  
Two scrubbed her stairs.  
No children graced the first one's marriage—  
Two came in pairs.

One attended balls and revels—  
Two had 'bawls' at home.  
One often had the 'blue-devils'—  
Which let Two alone.

One wept in private, smothered in show—  
Two's tears were for the world.  
One life a dismal gloom did know—  
Two, sunny hours.

'Some years passed by, when, mark you this—  
Two's husband thrived.  
But One's affairs went on as of old—  
He'd no honey thived.

Two's husband bought One's great grand  
They lived in glory.  
One's got as poor as church mouse—  
So ended this story.

—W. in Sydney Mail.

## SWEET KISSES.

POETIC AND PRACTICAL IDEAS ABOUT  
KISSING AND OSCULATION.

'I think,' said a clever society lady, 'that  
the kisses which one woman bestows on  
another at meeting or parting are the most  
inspired, cold, comfortable, stupid, and  
obscene of which the world is made. When  
kisses are given, it is when they are not  
between relatives. It's an abuse of the  
lips.'

'A waste of sweets,' suggested the corbe.  
'Not sweets—sweets, and a deal more,  
that—just a waste of patience, and a deal more  
on courtesy—that is excessively trying,' and  
with this explosion of momentary wrath  
which lady readers have all occasionally felt,  
the lady settled herself in an easy chair and  
ventured a few remarks on kissing and the  
art thereof.

Sam Slick said a kiss was like creation;  
it was made out of nothing, and was very  
good. That applies to kisses that are  
kisses—not to kissing between women  
orderly men. Among our English  
ancestors it was necessary for men to kiss  
each other, but the vile habit was finally  
turned over entirely to our French and  
German friends. Great bearded men  
among them kiss each other, and it is not  
pleasant to see. Nor does Mr Slick's  
definition apply to those kisses of ceremony  
or state symbol where the subject kisses  
the hand or the foot of his sovereign or  
the ground before him, as has been customary  
in one age or another of the world. When  
Gladius surrendered the seals of office  
the other day, and Salisbury received them,  
they both knelt down and kissed the  
Queen's hand. The Roman emperors  
demanded to be kissed on the feet, and  
latter to have the ground before them  
kissed.

There have also been religious kisses,  
duly enjoined in the writings of the  
Apostles. The Bible has many tender and  
some terrible passages concerning kissing,  
the extreme of which are those of Mary  
Magdalen, so full of love and pathos, kissing  
the feet of the Saviour, and that of  
Judas betraying him.

But these are not the kisses one thinks of  
when the word is spoken, but rather the  
kind Sydney Smith speaks of: 'We are in  
favour of a certain amount of abstinence when  
a kiss is proposed, but it should not be too  
long, and when the fair one gives it, let it  
be administered with warmth and energy;  
let there be a little of the old, the good,  
the true, the simple, the honest, the  
fullest of all, to give it to a man, as a  
humming-bird runs his bill into a honey-  
suckle—deep, but delicate. We have the  
memory of one received in our youth which  
lasted us 40 years, and we believe it will be  
one of the last things we think of when  
we die.' So for the witty divine, and  
there are a good many of us who have similar  
recollections.

To kiss one's sister is not particularly un-  
pleasant, but it is only a bread-and-butter  
affair. Good, but not interesting. To kiss one's  
cousin is somewhat different, and gives a  
jaunt to the operation, particularly if  
she comes under the denomination danger-  
ous. But to kiss somebody else's sister  
or cousin, that surpasses the other as far as  
ice cream and cake superabundant, and  
Eclipse first and the rest nowhere. Stere  
called it 'dash and blood with an angel on  
the inside.'

Byron's wish.  
That womanhood had but one rosy  
mouth.  
To kiss them all at once from North to  
South.

Does not particularly commend itself to the  
connoisseur in kissing. It is rather too  
vast a concentration. One at a time would  
be more practical and more pleasant. Leigh  
 Hunt says:

Stolen sweets are always sweeter,  
Stolen kisses much completor.  
But there is room for argument on that  
proposition. For real enjoyment it is a  
trifle too hasty, but it may be classed under  
the same head as kissing your cousin. Of  
such kisses one must never kiss and tell.  
Sweet and lovely is the maiden's kiss in  
'Paradise and the Port' the last long kiss  
which she expires in giving. Tom Moore  
wrote some most excellent verses on the kiss.

One of the most famous kisses in history  
is that of Georgia, Duchess of Devonshire,  
when she was canvassing for Fox's election.  
A butcher said he would vote for Fox if the  
lady would kiss him, which she thereupon  
did, thereby making the kiss, the butcher,  
and herself immortal in history. The  
Duchess of Gordon, in Scotland, recruited  
a Highland regiment in the same way.

Gilbert Stuart, the great painter, was  
once met by a lady on the streets of Boston  
who said to him that she had just seen his  
last kiss. He said he had kissed it because it was  
so much like him.

'And did it kiss you in return?'  
'Why, no.'  
'Then it was not like me,' replied the  
gallant painter.

Sam Horwood once wondered in his 'Oddities  
if Hannah More, that pious and respectable  
lady we all remember, was ever kissed by a  
man. Probably not, but the humorists of  
'The Rejected Addresses,' in one of their  
famous verses say:

Sidney Morgan was playing the organ,  
While behind the velvet door  
Hector Twist was watching a kiss  
From the lips of Hannah More.

But the testimony is not fairly creditable,  
and we must fairly conclude that she went  
to her grave unloved.

Our Puritan forefathers were not at all  
in favour of kissing. It was not permitted  
to young people, and even a man could not  
kiss his wife on Sunday. Winwood Reade,  
in his book of travel in equatorial Africa,  
says the negroes do not know how to kiss,  
and he admits that he frightened one or  
two maidens by attempting it. In New  
Zealand lovers do not kiss, but simply  
touch noses, but the South Sea Islanders  
understand kissing to perfection, according  
to some voyagers. They may have learned  
it at an early day from the first voyagers,  
and, finding that it was good, kept up the  
custom.

In the Dictionary of Osculation, which  
has never yet been completed, are found  
some definitions:

Bes—To kiss again.  
Robus—To kiss again.  
Pluribus—To kiss all around.  
Syllabus—To kiss the hand instead of  
the lips.

Blunderbus—To kiss the wrong person,  
sometimes unexpectedly pleasant.  
Cannib—To kiss promiscuously.  
Erebus—To kiss in the dark.  
Incubus—To kiss some one you don't like.  
Harquebus—To kiss with a loud smack.  
Petrus—To kiss a woman.

What foot would dance  
If that, when dance is done,  
He may not have at lady's lips  
That which in dance he won.

Hearty VIII. says to Anne Boleyn:  
Sweetheart,  
I were unmanly to take you out  
And not to kiss you.

To kiss a lady against her will is an as-  
sault, punishable under our law by fine and  
imprisonment. So gallants must have a  
care how they yield to rosy temptation,  
lest Ben Jonson, who said:

He might be a-kissing,  
wrote also the immortal lines to Colia:  
Drink to me only with thine eyes,  
And I will pledge with mine;  
Or leave a kiss but in the cup  
And I'll not look for wine.

And Decades's verse is almost as familiar:  
One kind kiss before we part,  
Drop a tear and bid adieu:  
Though we sever, my fond heart  
Till we meet shall pant for you.

—Chicago Herald.

Way is a man who keeps his eyes shut  
like an illiterate schoolmaster?—Because  
he keeps his pupils in darkness.

As editor who has reached the age of 60  
attributes his long life and excellent health  
to the fact that he never exposed to please  
everybody, and never tried to do so.

CHERRY 200 years old has been discovered  
in Switzerland.

BARTHOLOMEW's Liberty has a nose four feet  
long. What a cyclone would be a blow  
from such a snapper.

proper medicine will remove the disease if  
taken in its incipient stage. It is most im-  
portant that the disease should be promptly  
treated in its first stages, when a little  
medicine will effect a cure, and when even  
it has obtained a strong hold the correct  
remedy should be persevered in until every  
vestige of the disease is eradicated, until  
the appetite has returned, and the diges-  
tive organs restored to a healthy condition.  
The arrest and most effectual remedy for  
this distressing complaint is 'Seigel's Curative  
Syrup,' a vegetable preparation sold by  
all chemists and medicine vendors  
throughout the world, and by the proprie-  
tors, A. J. White, Limited, London, E.C.

This Syrup strikes at the very founda-  
tion of the disease, and drives it, root and  
branch, out of the system. Ask your  
chemist for Seigel's Curative Syrup.

'East-India Mail, Cambridge-Health,  
'London, E.C., July 24th, 1882.  
'Sir,—It gives me great pleasure to be  
able to add my testimony in favour of your  
valuable Syrup as a curative agent. I had  
suffered for some long time from a  
severe form of indigestion, and the long  
train of distressing symptoms following that  
disease. I had tried all possible means to  
obtain relief, by seeking the best medical  
advice. I had swallowed sufficient of that  
advice to last a man a war, so to speak, but  
all to no avail. A friend of mine, coming  
on the scene in the midst of my sufferings,  
brought with him a bottle of your Seigel  
Syrup; he advised me to try it, saying he  
felt confident it would cure me. Being  
sick of trying many drugs, I commenced  
it before trial, thinking it could not possi-  
bly do me any good, but ultimately deter-  
mined to try the Syrup. After doing so  
for a short time it worked such a change in  
me that I continued taking a change in  
two months, and I then felt thoroughly  
cured, for I have discontinued its use for  
five weeks, and feel in the best of health,  
and can partake any kind of food with ease  
and comfort. I am therefore thankful to  
you for the Syrup, and the instrumentality  
of your valuable medicine, I am restored to  
the state of health I now enjoy.—Yours  
truly,  
'W. S. FOSTER.'

'To Mr A. J. White. "Asthma Fumens"  
I have written to you in obtaining relief  
from the use of "The Rosinwood Tar Mixture,"  
but do not use the medicine unless you will  
follow all the directions to the letter.

Poor Asthma sufferers, who are strangers to  
'The Rosinwood Tar Mixture,' be assured  
that I should make use of it. "The Rosinwood  
Tar Mixture." Quick refreshing sleep will  
follow its use.

'Waterloo House, London, Stile, Chiswick,  
February 17th, 1882.  
'Gentlemen,—It is with great pleasure  
that I add my testimony to the wonderful  
effects of Seigel's Syrup. For years I have  
been suffering from bilious attacks, which  
began with giddiness; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the sale of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be  
troubled with such a headache. I feel as if I  
should be able to recognize any one or anything  
at the distance of a yard or two from my  
face. This would be followed by excessive  
trouble of my knees, so that I could not  
stand with support; after which a severe  
headache would ensue, lasting often for  
three or four days. I have tried various re-  
medies for these distressing symptoms, but  
until I tried Seigel's Syrup I had no relief.  
Since then I have had no more of the kind,  
and I feel as if I should never again be